# Sample Preparation Strategies for Urine Panels with 50 or More Drugs and Metabolites Analyzed by LC-MS/MS

Stephanie J. Marin, Jillian Neifeld, Dan Menasco, Elena Gairloch<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Biotage, 10430 Harris Oaks Blvd., Suite C, Charlotte North Carolina 28269, USA



## **Overview/Introduction**

Urine drug testing to support pain management is a mainstay of the clinical toxicology laboratory. Reduced reimbursement has continued to put increasing pressure on laboratories. Many toxicology labs are moving to larger drug panels to increase throughput and efficiency, while reducing turn-around-time and cost. Methods using LC-MS with 50 or more drugs and metabolites are increasingly common. These panels increase throughout and improve laboratory efficiency, but create many challenges. While "dilute and shoot" methods are easy and affordable, they can result in shortened LC column lifetimes and increased MS instrumentation downtime. Matrix effects can also effect sensitivity and overall method performance. Supported Liquid extraction (SLE) and Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) provide cleaner samples for LC-MS/MS and more robust clinical assays. Successful sample preparation method development requires understanding the retention mechanism of the analytes in the assay. The octanol-water partition coefficient (logP) and acid-dissociation constant (pKa) of the compounds of interest are important properties that can guide sample preparation strategy and optimization of method conditions.

#### Methods

Three sample preparation methodologies were evaluated for 52 drug analytes:

# Supported Liquid Extraction ISOLUTE® SLE+

- Works for acidic, basic and neutral drugs
- EtOAc, CH2Cl2 or MTBE for elution
- · Addition of IPA can increase partitioning of more hydrophilic compounds

#### Polymeric Reverse Phase SPE EVOLUTE® EXPRESS ABN

- More hydrophilic compounds will exhibit poor retention
- Organic wash must be carefully controlled

# Mixed-Mode Polymeric Reverse Phase Cation Exchange SPE EVOLUTE® EXPRESS CX

- · Compounds can be retained by ion exchange and reverse phase
- pH sample pretreatment controls ionization and retention
- Wash steps must be carefully selected based upon retention mechanism
- . Must know retention mechanism of compounds! (see Table 3)

Hydrolyzed urine specimens fortified with 52 drugs and metabolites from multiple drug classes were treated with acid or base and extracted using three sample preparation methodologies. Wash and elution solvents were optimized for each extraction protocol. Samples were analyzed on a Sciex 5500 triple quadrupole MS coupled to a Shimadzu Nexera LC and autosampler. Two transitions were monitored for each analyte (see Table 3). Recovery, matrix effect, and process efficiency were evaluated (Matuszewski BK et al, *Anal. Chem.* 75, 3019-3030, 2003).

#### **Extraction Parameters**

Table 1: Extraction Methods

able I. Extraction Methods											
	ISOLUTE SLE+	EVOLUTE EXPRESS ABN	EVOLUTE EXPRESS CX								
Specimen volume	200 μL										
Ammoniun acetate pH 4	200 μL										
enzyme	13 µL										
hydrolyze	55 °C for 30 minutes										
Sample Pretreatment	200 μL 0.1% NH4OH	200 μL 0.1% NH4OH	200 μL 4% H3PO4								
Condition	-	1 mL MeOH	1 mL MeOH								
Equilibrate	-	1 mL 0.1% NH4OH	1 mL 4% H3PO4								
Load (μL)	400 μL	μL entire pretreated sample									
Wash 1	-	1 mL 0.1% NH4OH	1 mL 4% H3PO4								
Wash 2	-	1 mL 10% MeOH in water	1 mL of 50% MeOH in water								
Dry	-	- dried 5 min under nitrogen 40 psi									
Elute option 1	2 x 0.75 mL 9	0:10 CH2Cl2:2-propanol	2 x 0.75 mL 78:20:2 CH2Cl2:2-propanol:NH4OH								
OR Elute option 2	-	•	OR 2 x 0.75 mL 78:20:2 CH2Cl2:MeOH:NH4OH								
Dry	-	dried under nitrogen at 40°C	dried under nitrogen 40°C								
Reconstitute	150 μL 90:10 0.1% FA in water:0.1% FA in MeOH										

#### LC Parameters

Mobile phase A (MPA): 0.1% formic acid (FA) in water Mobile phase B (MPB): 0.1% FA in MeOH, gradient separation Flow Rate: 0.6 mL/min Column: Restek RAPTOR biphenyl 2.7 μm, 50 x 3.0 mm LC: Shimadzu UPLC

### **Results and Discussion**

Injection volume: 2 uL

#### **Supported Liquid Extraction ISOLUTE® SLE+**

- 80 to >90% recovery for most compounds except:
- · 70% recovery norhydrocodone and morphine
- · 50% zolpidem-phenyl-4-COOH and amphetamine
- <20% recovery pregabalin and gabapentin

#### Polymeric Reverse Phase SPE EVOLUTE® EXPRESS ABN

- 80 to >90% recovery for many compounds
- Poor recovery for opiates and some opioids:
- 30-60% for 6-AM, hydrocodone, norhydrocodone, codeine, dihydrocodeine and oxycodone
- <10% morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone
- 50-60% recovery for norbuprenorphine, norfentanyl, tapentadol, n-desmethyltapentadol
- 25 % recovery for naloxone
- 50% recovery 7-aminoclonazepam 30% amphetamine and methamphetamine and ritalinic acid
- 70% recovery 9-carboxy-THC, amitriptyline and nortriptyline
- <20% recovery pregabalin and gabapentin

# Mixed mode polymeric reverse phase cation exchange SPE EVOLUTE® EXPRESS CX

- 80 to >90% recovery for most compounds using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:2-propanol:NH<sub>4</sub>OH except:
- Poor recovery for barbiturates
- 30% recovery for ritalinic acid
- <20% recovery pregabalin, gabapentin, meprobamate and carisoprodol
- Lowering organic wash to 10% improved recovery of carisoprodol and barbiturates
- Recovery improved for pregabalin (100%), meprobamate (30%), gabapentin and ritalinic acid (80%) and using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH:NH<sub>4</sub>OH, but samples were not as clean

## Conclusions

- $\bullet$  Supported liquid extraction and mixed-mode SPE worked best for the most compounds
- Compromises in recovery and sample cleanliness are necessary with large drug panels in urine that have analytes with very different properties
- $\bullet$  Knowing the logP and pK  $_a$  of your compounds is essential for successful method development

Table 2. Summary of Sample Preparation Results

Drug Class		SLE+	ABN	Comment		
Opiates		++	+	low recoveries for most drugs on ABN		
Opioids		+++	++	low recoveries for a few drugs on ABN		
Benzodiazepines		+++	++	most variability, 7-AC, zolpidem metabolite lower		
Barbiturates		+++	+++	recovery on CX requires 10% organic wash		
Stimulants		++	++	lower recovery ritalinic acid for most options		
Illicit Drugs		+++	++			
Pregabalin and Gabapentin				must add MeOH to elution solvent on CX - samples not as clean		
Carisoprodol and Meprobamate		+++	++	lower organic wash on CX for meprobabmate		

Table 3: Properties, MS/MS Transitions and Collision Energies (CE)

Table of Freperator, Mey Me				MS/MS Transitions				
Compound	Properties logP pKa 1 pKa 2			Q1 Q3 CE			Q3 CE	
11-Nor-delta-9-carboxy-THC	5.1	4.2	9.3	343.0	299.0	-30	245.0	-40
6-monoacetylmorphine	0.6	8.7	9.3	343.0	165.2	-30	245.0	30
							222.2	
7-aminoclonazepam	0.5	3.0	5.0	286.0	121.2	50		30
alpha-hydroxy-alprazolam	1.5 3.0	5.0	13.7	325.1	297.0	40 40	216.1	60
alprazolam		5.1	18.3	309.1	281.1		205.1	60
amitriptyline	4.8	9.8		278.1	105.1 119.0	50	202.2 91.0	70
amphetamine	-0.6	10.0 3.2	9.5	136.1 290.2	168.1	20 30	105.0	20 50
benzoylecgonine	3.6	9.6	9.5	468.3	396.2	60	414.2	50
buprenorphine								
butalbital	1.6	8.5		223.0	42.0	-70	179.8	-20
carisoprodol	1.9	15.0		261.2	97.2	20	176.2	10
chlordiazepoxide (Librium)	3.1	6.5	44.7	299.9	226.9	10	241.0	10
clonazepam	3.2	1.9 8.9	11.7	316.1	102.1	32	123.3	7
cocaine	2.3	9.2		304.1	182.1	30 70	77.0	70
codeine	1.3			300.1	152.1		115.1	80
dihydrododeine	1.6	9.3		302.1	201.1	40	145.1	60
diazepam	3.1	2.9		285.1	154.1	40	193.0	40
EDDP	4.6	9.6		278.3	234.2	40	186.2	50
fentanyl	3.8	8.8		337.2	105.1	50	188.1	40
gabapentin	-1.3	4.6	9.9	172.1	154.1	30	137.1	20
hydrocodone	2.0	8.6		300.1	199.1	40	128.1	70
hydromorphone	1.6	8.6	10.1	286.2	185.1	40	128.0	70
ketamine	3.4	7.5		238.1	125.1	50	179.2	40
lorazepam	3.5	10.6	12.5	321.0	275.1	50	229.1	40
MDMA	1.9	10.1		194.1	163.2	20	105.2	40
meperidine	2.5	8.2		248.2	220.0	30	174.1	30
meprobamate	0.9	>12.0		219.2	158.2	10	97.1	20
methadone	5.0	9.1		310.2	265.2	20	105.0	20
methamphetamine	2.2	10.2		150.1	91.2	20	119.2	10
morphine	0.9	9.1	10.3	286.2	152.0	80	165.0	60
naloxone	1.6	7.8	10.7	328.0	128.2	80	115.0	80
n-desmethyltapentadol	2.3	10.6		208.2	107.1	50	121.1	20
norbuprenorphine	2.3	10.5		414.3	83.1	70	101.1	50
nordiazepam	3.2	2.9	12.3	271.1	140.0	50	165.1	50
norfentanyl	1.4	10.0		233.2	84.1	20	150.0	20
norhydrocodone	1.6	10.0		286.1	199.1	40	128.1	70
norketamine	2.9	7.5		224.2	125.1	50	179.2	20
normeperidine	2.1	9.3		234.2	160.1	20	188.1	20
nortriptyline	4.4	10.5		264.2	91.1	60	117.1	20
oxazepam	2.9	10.6	12.5	287.1	241.0	30	269.1	20
oxycodone	1.0	8.1		316.2	241.0	50	256.0	30
oxymorphone	0.8	8.2	10.0	302.1	227.0	50	198.1	60
phencyclidine	4.5	10.6		244.3	91.0	60	159.3	20
phenobarbital	1.4	8.1		230.9	42.0	-70	187.9	-10
pregabalin	-1.4	4.8	10.2	160.2	142.2	15	55.0	35
ritalinic acid	-0.4	3.7	10.1	220.1	84.1	50	56.1	60
secobarbital	2.0	8.5		237.0	42.0	-70	193.8	-20
tapentadol	3.0	10.2		222.2	107.1	50	121.1	30
temazepam	2.8	4.7		301.1	255.1	50	177.1	60
tramadol	2.5	9.3		264.2	58.1	60	42.1	80
zolpidem	3.0	5.7		308.1	235.1	50	236.2	40
zolpidem-4-phenyl-COOH	0.6	3.4	5.7	338.1	265.1	50	266.1	40